

[REDACTED]

From: john belair [REDACTED]
Sent: September 23, 2024 10:38 AM
To: Clerks
Subject: Proposed King City Core development plan

CAUTION! This email originated from **outside your organization**. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the **Report a Phish** Outlook add-in. If you think you may have clicked on a phishing link, please mention that when reporting the phishing email.

Ladies/Gentlemen:

– OBJECTION -- Proposed King City – Doctors Lane Development Plan

Dear Planning Department and King City Council

We have reviewed the current proposed plans for “King City - Doctors Lane Neighbourhood Concept Plan”

There are aspects that we can agree with, specifically many of the changes proposed in the core area near the old arena, business area, and downtown core.

We are very much against, therefore **OBJECTING** to, the sections of this proposal that include Kingslynn Drive, Patton Street, Elizabeth Grove and Fisher Street

It is entirely inappropriate to build any 4-6 story condo or other multiuse structures in a well-established neighbourhood. Any of the proposed site limitations are likely to exceed bylaws by way of exemption. this

has been exemplified by the planning and building departments and the committee of adjustments over past years.

It seems the planning department continues to ignore the negative impacts that large scale redevelopments have, including ridiculous variance requests and oversized homes that frequently exceed established bylaws. And now the potential imposition of yet a more negative impact on existing residents. It appears to be another example of the development groups being favoured over EXISTING, LONG TERM residents of the community.

Any greenspace should be kept as greenspace.

Remember, the former school, Eva L. Dennis was a one story public building serving the community well for many years. The school blended in with the neighbourhood. It and adjacent property should be kept as such for community benefit, blending in, NOT some multi-story structure STICKING OUT like a sore thumb.

The three storey plan for east side of Fisher Street is inconsiderate of the residences it will back onto.

Multi-story housing should NOT be in the midst of single family homes.

Single level, accessible housing for seniors should be considered.

How will the increase in traffic through the residential neighbourhoods of town be managed? Traffic is already a major concern.

The United Church property should be left as it is, complimenting the adjoining park as well as BLENDING IN with the streetscape. Definitely NOT a multi-storey structure of any kind.

Allow us to remind all that there are currently two multi-storey housing structures under construction. One on Keele Street at Clearview Crescent and one on King Road at Banner Lane. In addition, the multi-unit building on Keele Street across from Norman Drive and on King Road beside the library.

We find it a very short timeline (6 days) between the "public" open house presentation and the council meeting to discuss this proposal.

We respectfully ask staff and council, how would you feel having any of these proposals in your 'backyard'?

Respectfully,

John & Lois Belair

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

King City residents since 1984.