

[REDACTED]

From: Tiffany Canzano [REDACTED]
Sent: September 22, 2024 10:06 PM
To: Clerks
Cc: [REDACTED]; Jordan Cescolini
Subject: Feedback: Doctor Lane Neighbourhood Concept Plan

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION! This email originated from outside your organization. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Report a Phish Outlook add-in. If you think you may have clicked on a phishing link, please mention that when reporting the phishing email.

Re: Doctor Lane Neighbourhood Concept Plan

Hello,

We are writing regarding the above noted concept plan.

We reside at [REDACTED]. We strongly object to the proposal for townhomes at Kingslynn Drive and Patton Street.

This is a well-established low density neighborhood with large multi-million dollar homes. Residents, including ourselves have invested a significant amount of money into the redevelopment of older homes on properties in this quiet neighbourhood. We moved into the area last year to escape the traffic, noise and congestion of the Lakeview / Port Credit area in Mississauga which has resulted from the overwhelming focus by the municipality on density targets.

We do appreciate the need to modernize King with mixed-used development and walkable neighborhoods in some areas of the village core. Nonetheless, we feel that townhomes at the corner of Kingslynn and Patton are poorly aligned with the character of this neighborhood and do little to advance the objectives that King must achieve since they do not add significantly to population targets.

There are many other areas of King that could be studied for redevelopment to meet population targets such as Schomberg and areas currently reserved for conservation. King is a large geographic area and there seems to a misplaced focus on the village core as one of the only two areas where population targets can be achieved.

Instead, any such development would serve to significantly disrupt the lives of residents in the area and make poor land use planning sense since this is land that could be used to expand park facilities for the enjoyment of all residents.

The area is not currently serviced for such a development. It has antiquated storm water system (which has been problematic) and above grade services (hydro, rogers etc). We've been told by Hydro that we are unable to upgrade to a 400amp service given the older age of hydro lines in the area. While services can be upgraded, the process would significantly disrupt the lives of residents for years especially when the construction of the units is factored in. The traffic in the area will also increase since ingress and egress would occur on a quiet subdivision street. This introduces safety concerns for children who play on these streets as well as noise concerns.

These are the principal reasons why we do not support this part of the Concept Plan. We are unable to attend the meeting on September 23rd; however, we kindly request that Council and Staff consider our comments and revisit the proposal for this area.

Thank you,
Russel Drew and Tiffany Canzano