

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF KING REPORT TO COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT

November 20, 2024

Planning Division Report File #: A-24-49

RE: Minor Variance Application

Municipal Address: 88 Sunny Acre Crescent

Legal Address: Lot 24, Plan 65M-4797

Agent: Malone Given Parsons Ltd. (c/o Steven McIntyre)
Owner: Scouli Developments (BT) Inc. (c/o Howard Li)

Note: This report was prepared on the basis of comments received prior to November 7, 2024

1. **RECOMMENDATION:**

a) THAT Minor Variance Application A-24-49 **BE APPROVED** with conditions as noted in Attachment 1.

2. PROPOSAL:

Proposed Development:

The total landholding comprises a registered plan of residential subdivision with an overall lot area of approximately 21.85 hectares (53.99 acres) and a total of 105 detached residential lots as located in the northeast quadrant of the settlement area of the Village of King City; south of 15th Sideroad and west of Dufferin Street. **Attachment No. 2** includes a draft copy of the Registered Plan (65M-4797) with the parcel subject of this application shown as Lot 24.

The subject lands are located within the King City East Development Area which comprises several draft approved and/or registered plans of residential subdivision. A total of 1,029 residential units are proposed for the area and will include a variety of dwelling types (including detached dwellings and townhouse dwellings) and lot sizes upon completion.

A building permit has been issued for the construction of a detached dwelling on the lands subject of this application. Construction is currently underway on-site and the construction of several other dwellings in various stages is occurring in the immediate vicinity.

The application proposes an increase in the maximum permitted rear yard encroachment into the rear yard for an unenclosed covered porch not exceeding one (1) storey in height. The selected house model (60-1) includes an option for a rear yard covered porch, which in the case of the proposed development comprises a covered porch measuring 3.66 metres (depth) X 4.98 metres (width) for an overall proposed ground floor area of 18.23 m². When inclusive of the width of the proposed eaves of the structure (0.30 metres) (included in the calculation of maximum permitted encroachment), the overall depth of the covered porch is 3.96 metres. The proposed encroachment into the rear yard of the covered porch is 3.91 metres. The applicant has proposed an encroachment variance to permit a total encroachment of 4.0 metres into the required rear yard for the covered porch to ensure some additional tolerances to final measurements. The location of the covered porch, including the proposed encroachment, relative to the rear lot line is illustrated on **Attachment No. 3**. Building Elevations and Floor Plans for Model 60-1 (with optional covered porch) are included as **Attachment No. 4**.

The details of the requested variances are included in the following Table:

#	Zoning By-law No. 74-53, as	Variance requested:
	amended by By-law No. 2019-075	
1	Section 6.55 (iv) permits a maximum encroachment of 2.4 metres (including eaves and cornices) into a required rear yard for porches not exceeding one (1) storey in height and uncovered terraces (excluding stairs)	Relief from Section 6.55 (iv) to permit a maximum encroachment of 4.0 metres into a required rear yard setback for an unenclosed covered porch not exceeding one (1) storey in height.
#	Zoning By-law No. 2017-66, as amended by By-law No. 2019-076	Variance requested:
2	Section 3.42 (Table 3.42.1 (iv) – Permitted Yard and Setback Encroachments) permits a maximum encroachment of 2.4 metres (including eaves and cornices) into a required rear yard of porches not exceeding one (1) storey in height and uncovered terraces.	Relief from Section 3.42 (Table 3.42.1 (iv)) to permit a maximum encroachment of 4.0 metres into a required rear yard setback for an unenclosed covered porch not exceeding one (1) storey in height.

3. PLANNING ACT (SECTION 45(1)) – "FOUR TESTS" SUMMARY:

The following analysis of the subject variances is based on the four (4) tests of a Minor Variance as set out in Section 45(1) of the Planning Act.

1)	Does the Minor Variance maintain the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan?				
	⊠ Yes	□ No			

The lands subject of the application are designated 'Low Density Residential 5 Area' and 'Environmental Protection Area' to Schedule 4 (Land Use and Transportation Strategy) of OPA No. 89 to the Township of King Official Plan ("Our King"), as amended.

OPA No. 89 was approved by Council on July 11, 2016 (By-law 2016-67) and established area-specific development policies for these lands, including those related to residential density and buffering from the minimum vegetation protection zone.

The 'Low Density Residential 5 Area' designation permits a wide range of residential uses, including single detached dwellings, townhouses, semi-detached dwellings (or other similar dwelling types) with a maximum height of three storeys, seniors housing development (with a maximum height of three storeys). Neighbourhood parks and home occupations are also permitted by the applicable land use designation.

,	Does the Mind By-law?	r Variance maintain the general intent and purpose of the Zoning
	⊠ Yes	□ No

The lands subject of the application are zoned Residential Urban Single Detached "A" – K3 Exception ('R1A-K3') Zone to Schedule 'A' (Map No. 3 – King City Area) of Zoning By-law 74-53 (as amended by By-law 2019-075) and Residential Single Detached "A" – K3 Exception ('R1A-K3') Zone – R1A-6 to Schedule 'A4' of Zoning By-law 2017-66 (as amended by By-law 2019-076).

Section 7.68 (iv) (R1A-K3 Exceptions) of the Township of King Zoning By-law 74-53 prescribes that the minimum required rear yard setback within the 'R1A-K3' Zone is 7.5 metres.

Section 6.55 (iv) (Yard and Setback Encroachments Permitted) of the Township of King Zoning By-law 74-53 permits encroachments of porches not exceeding one (1) storey in height of a maximum of 2.4 metres (including eaves and cornices) into a required rear yard.

Section 6.5.2.6 (d) (R1A Zone Exceptions) of the Township of King Zoning By-law 2017-66 prescribes that the minimum required rear yard setback within the 'R1A-K3' Zone – R1A-6 is 7.5 metres.

Section 3.42 (Table 3.42.1 (iv) - Permitted Yard and Setback Encroachments) of the Township of King King Zoning By-law 2017-66 permits encroachments of porches not exceeding one (1) storey in height and uncovered terraces of a maximum of 2.4 metres (including eaves and cornices) into a required rear yard.

Part 2 (Definitions) of Zoning By-law 2017-66 defines "porch" as 'an unenclosed, covered platform with direct access to the ground that is attached to a dwelling.'

The applicable zoning contemplates permitted encroachments into the rear yard for structures similar to that which is proposed as part of this application. The site-specific zoning of the lot contemplated dwelling options which might include a rear yard covered porch.

However, site-specific considerations related to lot shape and depth have necessitated the application for minor variance. Due to the limits of a Minimum Vegetation Protection Zone on Block 108 (south) (associated with an abutting Natural Heritage System), the south lot line of the subject lands is irregular in shape which has created 'pinch-points' in terms of minimum rear yard setback lines; particularly, in the area of the proposed rear yard covered porch, where these setbacks are at their minimum.

As a result, the subject lands have a shallow rear yard depth relative to adjacent lands to the west and east and along the south side of Sunny Acre Crescent.

The proposed dwelling otherwise complies with all other applicable zone provisions related to the construction of a detached dwelling. The proposed rear yard setback to the proposed covered porch (excluding the eaves) is 3.92 metres. These dimensions will need to be verified at the time of building permit issuance.

	3) Is the Minor Variance desirable for the appropriate development or use of land, building, or structure?		
		⊠ Yes	□ No
	previous approximate porch anticip interior are reco	usly reviewed val process. to serve as a pated impacts r side yard se quired to rela	gn and massing of the detached dwelling (including Model 60-1) were and approved through architectural control as part of the subdivision. The proposed structure is anticipated as an unenclosed covered in outdoor extension of the kitchen and amenity area. There are no a related to adjacent and neighbouring development as a result of etbacks or building height, nor are variances being contemplated or ted zone provisions. Land uses to the south include those as a applicable Environmental Protection ('EP') Zone.
	require engine Minime Engine Conse confirm TRCA	ed rear yard is eering (i.e. dra um Vegetatio eering staff ha ervation Autho med that as th permit will no	ng from the additional encroachment of the covered porch into the s not anticipated to present adverse impacts from a technical ainage) or environmental perspective as it relates to the adjacent on Protection Zone and Natural Heritage System. Development ave expressed 'no objections' to the application. Toronto and Region prity (TRCA) has been circulated the application for review and has no subject property is located outside of the TRCA Regulated Area, a set be required with respect to the proposed development and has no dinor Variance Application, as proposed.
	4)	Is the relief	sought minor in nature?
		⊠ Yes	□ No
	permit in heig	ted encroach ht into the re	the above, the proposed variances to increase the maximum ment of an unenclosed covered porch not exceeding one (1) storey quired rear yard is not anticipated to present adverse impacts related land use of the property or adjacent lots.
Prepa	red by t	he Township	of King Planning Division on November 8, 2024
Prepa	red by:		
		on, MCIP, RF lanning and [
ATTA	CHMEN	ITS	
Attach Attach	ment N ment N	o. 2 - Registe o. 3 - Lot Sitii	mended Conditions of Approval ered Plan of Subdivision (65M-4797) ng and Encroachment Plans (Lot 24) Elevations & Floor Plans (Model 60-1)